

Suitability of 3rd Round Signature Candidates for Vehicle-to-Vehicle Communication

3rd PQC Standardization
Conference
June 7-9, 2021

Nina Bindel

Sarah McCarthy

Hanif Rahbari

Geoff Twardokus



UNIVERSITY OF
WATERLOO

IQC Institute for
Quantum
Computing

RIT | Rochester Institute
of Technology

Outline

- Introduction to **Secure** Vehicle-to-Vehicle (V2V) Communication
- Presentation of Existing Testbed **V2Verifier**
- **Integration of PQ** Algorithms to V2Verifier and **Experimental Results**
- Analysis of **Dense Environments** on Testbed
- Stating of **Future Work**



*All icons from flaticom.com using premium account.

Introduction to V2V Communication


V2V Communication

Direct wireless communication


- Increases situational awareness
- Prevents 600,000 collisions per year

Described in

- **Dedicated Short Range Communication/Wireless Access in Vehicular Environments**
IEEE 802.11p
- **Cellular Vehicle-to-Everything**
3GPP Release 14/15




Basic Safety Messages (BSMs)



Every vehicle broadcasts 10 BSMs per second within transmission range

Information Collected in BSMs




Introduction to **Secure** V2V Communication

IEEE 1609.2 Standard




Secure wireless communication

- secure transmission of messages
- cryptographic operations
- certificate management



Based on elliptic curve
crypto, e.g. ECDSA



Secure BSM Exchange



Secure BSM Exchange




Receiver


Secure BSM Exchange




Receiver




Sender




Secure BSM Exchange




Secure BSM Exchange



Secure BSM Exchange



Secure BSM Exchange



Very short distance
→ BSM transmission must be in ms
→ Verification must be in ms

| Testbed V2Verifier

V2VERIFIER

= wireless hardware testbed for secure V2V communication [TR21]

- Based on IEEE 1609.2
- Open-source
- Written in Python

➡ already used to find attacks and show effectiveness of mitigations [TPB+21]

[TR21] *Evaluating V2V Security on an SDR Testbed.* G. Twardokus, H. Rahbari. CNERT at IEEE INFOCOM 2021.

[TPB+21] *Targeted Discreditation Attack against Trust Management in Connected Vehicles.* G. Twardokus, J. Ponicki, S. Baker, P. Carengo, H. Rahbari, S. Mishra. ICC 2021.

Laptop or Raspberry Pi
to sign and verify BSMs


Emulates
one car





At least 2 meters apart
during experiments

Software-defined radio (SDR)
to send and receive signals

Considered Test Scenarios



Distance: at least 2 meters
Speed: 0 km/h



Distance: 2 - 300 meters
Speed: 0 - 50 km/h

Post-Quantum V2Verifier

Efficiency of Selected Schemes

Size (byte)

Algorithm	PK	Signature
ECDSA P-256	64	64
Dilithium-II	1 312	2 420
Falcon-512	897	666
Rainbow-I	157 800	66

Danger of BSM loss?
Issue in jammed intersections?

Cycle counts (k-cycles)


Sign	Verify
201	398
202	73
831	141
4684	4913

Disadvantage due to slower sign?

Benefit due to faster verify?

PQ EXTENSION OF V2VERIFIER

Integration of PQ signatures in V2Verifier is performed using liboqs implementations



Key generation called on demand




Signing is called from liboqs using Python bindings



Signature is extracted and passed to liboqs verify function

Experimental Results and Comparison


Considered Test Scenarios



Sender & Receiver static



Sender& Receiver moving,
line-of-sight



Sender& Receiver moving,
non-line-of-sight

Future work: test real environment with moving cars

Runtime and Sizes

Algorithm	Correctness	Sign (average)	Verification (average)
ECDSA P-256 ¹	✓		
Dilithium-II	✗	0.063	0.054
Falcon-512	✓		
Rainbow-I	✓	1.526	1.664

➔ Considering the fast verification, Dilithium and Falcon look like suitable replacements for ECDSA

¹sign and verify approx., ms estimated from eBACs cycle counts

Runtime and Sizes

Algorithm	Correctness	Sign (average)	Verification (average)	BSM packet size ² (bytes)	Packet loss (%)
ECDSA P-256 ¹	✓				< 0.1
Dilithium-II	✗	0.063	0.054		N/A
Falcon-512	✓				< 0.1
Rainbow-I	✓	1.526	1.664		< 0.1

➔ Considering the fast verification, Dilithium and Falcon look like suitable replacements for ECDSA

¹sign and verify approx., ms estimated from eBACs cycle counts

Runtime and Sizes

Algorithm	Correctness	Sign (average)	Verification (average)	BSM packet size ² (bytes)	Packet loss (%)
ECDSA P-256 ¹	✓				< 0.1
Dilithium-II	✗	0.063	0.054		N/A
Falcon-512	✓				< 0.1
Rainbow-I	✓	1.526	1.664		< 0.1

➔ Considering the fast verification, Dilithium and Falcon look like suitable replacements for ECDSA

2 304 byte = max. message size (IEEE 802.11p)

➔ Signature size of Dilithium exceeds max. message size

¹sign and verify approx., ms estimated from eBACs cycle counts

²included: BSM data, signature, **no** public key

Runtime and Sizes

Algorithm	Correctness	Sign (average)	Verification (average)	BSM packet size ² (bytes)	Packet loss (%)	Packet size w/ explicit cert	Packet size w/ implicit cert
ECDSA P-256 ¹	✓				< 0.1		
Dilithium-II	✗	0.063	0.054		N/A		--
Falcon-512	✓				< 0.1		--
Rainbow-I	✓	1.526	1.664		< 0.1		

➔ Considering the fast verification, Dilithium and Falcon look like suitable replacements for ECDSA

2 304 byte = max. message size (IEEE 802.11p)

➔ Signature size of Dilithium exceeds max. message size

➔ Rainbow exceeds max. message size

¹sign and verify approx., ms estimated from eBACs cycle counts


²included: BSM data, signature, **no** public key

Analysis of Dense Environments

Dense Environments

Max number of ECDSA verifications:
(modern V2V equipment, e.g., Qualcomm 9150)

2500 BSM/s



Dense Environments



Max number of ECDSA verifications:
(modern V2V equipment, e.g., Qualcomm 9150)

2500 BSM/s

Example of dense environment:
peak hour on the I-490 highway, NY

3600 BSM/s

- average vehicle speed: 50 mph
- vehicle spacing: 1.5 s
- Communication range: 1 km



Dense Environments


Max number of ECDSA verifications:
(modern V2V equipment, e.g., Qualcomm 9150)

2500 BSM/s

Example¹ of dense environment:
peak hour on the I-490 highway, NY


3600 BSM/s

- average vehicle speed: 50 mph
- vehicle spacing: 1.5 s
- Communication range: 1 km



Algorithm	Correctness	Sign/sec	Verify/sec
Dilithium-II	✗		
Falcon-512	✓		
Rainbow-I	✓		

3600 Verify/s



Future Work

Experiments on testbed

- Do benchmarks change when tested with real vehicles moving with higher speed?


Analysis of scenarios

- How many messages can be sent at most, considering larger message sizes and faster runtimes?
- Is this number sufficient in scenarios, e.g., congested intersections?

Investigation of cert management

- Can we construct implicit certificates or alternatives from post-quantum assumptions?

Summary



- Customize post-quantum algorithms
- Adapt public-key infrastructure
- Agree on compromise between packet size and practicality/safety

Acknowledgment

Nina Bindel^{1,2,3} Sarah McCarthy³



¹supported by NSERC, RGPIN-2016-05146

²supported by NRC, program 927517

³supported by Public Works and Government Services Canada

Hanif Rahbari⁴ Geoff Twardokus⁴



⁴supported by NSA, grant H98230-19-1-0318

Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Security Agency.

THANKS.